



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/685,944	10/15/2003	Anthony Bruce Crawford	7643		
75	90 12/28/2005		EXAMINER		
Dr. Anthony Bruce Crawford			YANG, R	YANG, RYAN R	
230 West Lake Circle Madison, AL 35758			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
,			2672		
			DATE MAILED: 12/28/2005		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
		10/685,944	CRAWFORD ET AL.			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Ryan R. Yang	2672			
Period for	- The MAILING DATE of this communication app r Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
2a)☐ 3)☐ 3	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 16 Au This action is FINAL . 2b) This Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro				
Disposition	on of Claims					
4) Claim(s) 1-16 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-16 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application	on Papers					
 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. 						
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119					
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)						
2) D Notice 3) Inform	of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) ation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s)/Mail Da				

Application/Control Number: 10/685,944 Page 2

Art Unit: 2672

DETAILED ACTION

1. This action is responsive to communications: Amendment, filed on 8/16/2005. This action is non-final.

- 2. Claims 1-16 are pending in this application. Claim 1 is independent claim. In the Amendment, filed on 8/16/2005, claims 10, 11 and 13 were amended.
- 3. The present title of the invention is "White space algorithm to support the creation of high quality computer-based drawing free of graphics and text overwrites" as filed originally.

Specification

4. 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, requires the specification to be written in "full, clear, concise, and exact terms." The specification is replete with terms which are not clear, concise and exact. The specification should be revised carefully in order to comply with 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph. Examples of some unclear, inexact or verbose terms used in the specification are:

This feature is enabled be setting ... (page 6, line 15);

A qualified human draughtsman ... (page 6, line 28);

Fizzy logic ... (page 7, line 1);

Quality of white spaced ... (page 7, line 2).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

5. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

6. Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being incomplete for omitting essential steps, such omission amounting to a gap between the steps. See MPEP § 2172.01. The omitted steps are:

The algorithm provides status variables that define the quality of white space(d) found and these are used by client applications to adjust preferred coordinates (page 7, line 1-3 of specification).

In claim 1, the steps of determining the quality of white space and the step of adjusting are not structurally related, which leaves the claim un-enabling.

- 7. Claim 1 recites the limitation "moving proposed new graphics into locations of white space" in line 12-13. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim. This step is not disclosed in the specification.
- 8. Claim 1 recites the limitations "the quality of white space" in line 9. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.
- 9. Claim 3 recites the limitation "the white space algorithm" in line 3. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.
- 10. Claim 8 recites the limitation "the white space algorithm" in line 1-2. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.
- 11. Claim 8 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite in that it fails to point out what is included or excluded by the claim language. This claim is an omnibus type claim.

Examiner is not able to determine the scope of this claim limitation – "a plethora of different client applications from virtually any discipline".

12. Claims 11 and 12 are rejected as failing to define the invention in the manner required by 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph.

The claim(s) are narrative in form and replete with indefinite and functional or operational language. The structure which goes to make up the device must be clearly and positively specified. The structure must be organized and correlated in such a manner as to present a complete operative device. The claim(s) must be in one sentence form only. Note the format of the claims in the patent(s) cited.

13. All the dependent claims are also rejected because of the rejected claim 1.

Response to Arguments

14. Applicant's arguments, see amendment, filed 8/16/2005, with respect to the rejection(s) of claim(s) 1-8 and 10-16 under Fall et al. have been fully considered and are persuasive. Therefore, the rejection has been withdrawn. However, upon further consideration, a new ground(s) of rejection is made in view of **35 USC § 112**.

Conclusion

15. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Inquiries

16. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Ryan R Yang whose telephone number is (571) 272-7666. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8:30AM-5:00PM.

Application/Control Number: 10/685,944 Page 5

Art Unit: 2672

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael Razavi can be reached on (571) 272-7664. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (571) 273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Ryan Yang

Primary Examiner

December 23, 2005